

Ideals and Quotient Rings

This section of notes roughly follows Sections 7.3–7.4 in Dummit and Foote.

Recall that in the case of a homomorphism ϕ of groups, the fibers of ϕ have the structure of a group (that happens to be isomorphic to the image of ϕ by the First Isomorphism Theorem). In this case, the kernel of ϕ is the identity of the associated quotient group. This naturally led to the notion of a normal subgroup (i.e., those groups that correspond to kernels of homomorphisms). Can we do the same sort of thing for rings?

Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism with $\ker(\phi) = I$. Note that ϕ is also a group homomorphism of abelian groups and the fibers of ϕ are the cosets $r + I$. That is, if $\phi(r) = a$, then the fiber of ϕ over a is the coset $\phi^{-1}(a) = r + I$.

These cosets naturally have the structure of a ring isomorphic to the image of ϕ :

$$(r + I) + (s + I) = (r + s) + I \quad (1)$$

$$(r + I)(s + I) = (rs) + I \quad (2)$$

The reason for this is that if the fiber of $a \in S$ is $\phi^{-1}(a) = X$ and the fiber of $b \in S$ is $\phi^{-1}(b) = Y$, then the fibers of $a + b$ and ab are $X + Y$ and XY , respectively.

The corresponding ring of cosets is called the **quotient ring** of R by $I = \ker(\phi)$ and is denoted by R/I . The additive structure of the quotient ring R/I is exactly the additive quotient group of the additive abelian group R by the normal subgroup I (all subgroups are normal in abelian groups). When I is the kernel of some ring homomorphism ϕ , the additive abelian quotient group R/I also has a multiplicative structure defined in (2) above, making R/I into a ring.

Question 26. Can we make R/I into a ring for any subring I ?

The answer is “no” in general, just like in the situation with groups. But perhaps this isn’t obvious because if I is an arbitrary subring of R , then I is necessarily an additive subgroup of the abelian group R , which implies that I is an additive normal subgroup of the group R . It turns out that the multiplicative structure of R/I may not be well-defined if I is an arbitrary subring.

Let I be an arbitrary *subgroup* of the additive subgroup R . Let $r + I$ and $s + I$ be two arbitrary cosets. In order for multiplication of the cosets to be well-defined, the product of the two cosets must be independent of choice of representatives. Let $r + \alpha$ and $s + \beta$ be arbitrary representatives of $r + I$ and $s + I$, respectively ($\alpha, \beta \in I$), so that $r + I = (r + \alpha) + I$ and $s + I = (s + \beta) + I$. We must have

$$(r + \alpha)(s + \beta) + I = rs + I. \quad (3)$$

This needs to be true for all possible choices of $r, s \in R$ and $\alpha, \beta \in I$. In particular, it must be true when $r = s = 0$. In this case, we must have

$$\alpha\beta + I = I. \quad (4)$$

But this only happens when $\alpha\beta \in I$. That is, one requirement for multiplication of cosets to be well-defined is that I must be closed under multiplication, making I a *subring*.

Next, if we let $s = 0$ and let r be arbitrary, we see that we must have $r\beta \in I$ for every $r \in R$ and every $\beta \in I$. That is, it must be the case that I is closed under multiplication on the left by elements from R . Similarly, letting $r = 0$, we can conclude that we must have I closed under multiplication on the right by elements from R .

On the other hand, if I is closed under multiplication on the left and on the right by elements from R , then it is clear that relation (4) above is satisfied.

It is easy to verify that if the multiplication of cosets defined in (2) above is well-defined, then this multiplication makes the additive quotient group R/I into a ring (just check the axioms for being a ring).

We have shown that the quotient R/I of the ring R by a subgroup I has a natural ring structure iff I is closed under multiplication on the left and right by elements of R (which also forces I to be a subring). Such subrings are called **ideals**.

Definition 27. Let R be a ring and let I be a subset of R .

- (1) I is a **left ideal** (respectively, **right ideal**) of R iff I is a subring and $rI \subseteq I$ (respectively, $Ir \subseteq I$) for all $r \in R$.
- (2) I is an **ideal** (or **two-sided ideal**) iff I is both a left and a right ideal.

Here's a summary of everything that just happened.

Theorem 28. Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . Then the additive quotient group R/I is a ring under the binary operations:

$$(r + I) + (s + I) = (r + s) + I \quad (5)$$

$$(r + I)(s + I) = (rs) + I \quad (6)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Conversely, if I is any subgroup such that the above operations are well-defined, then I is an ideal of R .

As you might expect, we have some isomorphism theorems.

Theorem 29 (First Isomorphism Theorem for Rings). If $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then $\ker(\phi)$ is an ideal of R and $R/\ker(\phi) \cong \phi(R)$.

If I and J are ideals of R , then it is easy to verify that $I \cap J$, $I + J = \{a + b \mid a \in I, b \in J\}$, and $IJ = \{\text{finite sums of elements of the form } ab \mid a \in I, b \in J\}$ are also ideals of R . We also have the expected Second, Third, and Fourth Isomorphism Theorems for rings.

The next theorem tells us that a subring is an ideal iff it is a kernel of a ring homomorphism.

Theorem 30. If I is any ideal of R , then the **natural projection** $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$ defined via $\pi(r) = r + I$ is a surjective ring homomorphism with $\ker(\pi) = I$.

For the remainder of this section, assume that R is a ring with identity $1 \neq 0$.

Definition 31. Let A be any subset of R .

- (1) Let $\langle A \rangle$ denote the smallest ideal of R containing A , called the **ideal generated by A** . If A consists of a single element, say $A = \{a\}$, then $\langle a \rangle := (\{a\})$ is called a **principal ideal**.
- (2) $RA := \{r_1 a_1 + \cdots + r_n a_n \mid r_i \in R, a_i \in A, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, $AR := \{a_1 r_1 + \cdots + a_n r_n \mid a_i \in A, r_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, and $RAR := \{r_1 a_1 r'_1 + \cdots + r_n a_n r'_n \mid r_i, r'_i \in R, a_i \in A, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$.

Note 32. The following facts are easily verified.

- (1) (A) is the intersection of all ideals containing A .
- (2) RA , AR , and RAR are the left, right, and two-sided ideals generated by A .
- (3) If R is commutative, then $RA = AR = RAR = (A)$.
- (4) If R is commutative, then $(a) = Ra = aR$.

Example 33. Here are a couple examples. The details are left as exercises.

- (1) In \mathbb{Z} , $n\mathbb{Z} = (n) = (-n)$. In fact, these are the only ideals in \mathbb{Z} (since these are the only subgroups). So, all the ideals in \mathbb{Z} are principal. If m and n are positive integers, then $n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq m\mathbb{Z}$ iff m divides n . Moreover, we have $(m, n) = (d)$, where d is the greatest common divisor of m and n .
- (2) Consider the ideal $(2, x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Note that $(2, x) = \{2p(x) + xq(x) \mid p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$. Then $(2, x)$ is the collection of polynomials from $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ that have even constant term. In particular, $2, x \in (2, x)$. However, there is no single polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ that we can use to generate both 2 and x that only produces polynomials with even constant terms.

Theorem 34. Let I be an ideal of R .

- (1) $I = R$ iff I contains a unit.
- (2) Assume R is commutative. Then R is a field iff its only ideals are 0 and R .

Loosely speaking, the previous result says that fields are “like simple groups.”

Corollary 35. If R is a field, then every nonzero ring homomorphism from R into another ring is an injection.